PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Par	oerwork Re	eduction Act of 1995	no persor			lection of i	nformation	unless it	displays a valid OMB control number.
IDE				Application Nu	imber	09/830	09/830,899		
O' TRANSMITTAL				Filing Date		Aug. 3	Aug. 31, 2001		
/ ৣऀa≒ORM				First Named II	rventor	Paek, e	Paek, et al.		
DEC 1 8 2006			Art Unit		2171				
(to be used for	all abrresp	ondence after initial	filing)	Examiner Nan	пе	Etienne	Etienne Pierre Leroux		
Total Addition	Pages in	This Submission		Attorney Dock	et Number	070050	0.1520		
	ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)								
				LOOUNLO	(Cireck ar	arat app		After A	Allowance Communication to TC
Fee Trans				Drawing(s)	I Danasa				I Communication to Board
	ee Attach	ed		Licensing-related	i Papers			• • •	eals and Interferences
Amendme	ent/Reply			Petition Petition to Conve	ert to a			Appea ( <b>App</b> ea	I Communication to TC I Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
A1	fter Final		└─	Provisional Appli	cation			Propri	etary Information
AI AI	fidavits/d	eclaration(s)		Power of Attorne Change of Corre				Status	Letter
Extension	of Time	Request		Terminal Disclair	mer			Other below	Enclosure(s) (please Identify
				Request for Refu	ınd			33.31.	•
		ment Request		•	·				
Informatio	n Disclos	sure Statement			CD, Number of CD(s)				
Certified (	Copy of P	riority	Rema		Landscape Table on CD				
Documen		•	<u> </u>						
Reply to I									
R	eply to Mi	issing Parts							
ur	ider 37 C	FR 1.52 or 1.53							
Firm Nome	1	SIGNA	TURE (	OF APPLICA	NT, ATTC	RNEY,	UR AG	ENT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Firm Name	Baker	Botts LLP			Customer No. 21003				
Signature		LM	<u> </u>						
Printed name	Robert	L. Maier							
Date 12/14/2006					Reg. No.	54,29	1		
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING									
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:									
Signature	-	6.1	M						
Typed or printed name Robert L. Maier							Date	12/14/2006	
		<u></u>							

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/17 (10-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

erwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

ĔΕ	TR	AN	SN	NIT	TAL	
	for	FY	20	05		

Effective 10/01/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 250.00

DEC 1 8 2006

PADEM

Complete if Known					
Application Number	09/830,899				
Filing Date	Aug. 31, 2001				
First Named Inventor	Paek, et al.				
Examiner Name	Etienne Pierre Leroux				
Art Unit	2171				
Attorney Docket No.	070050 1520				

Check Credit card Money Other None Order O	METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)				FEE CALCULATION (continued)				
Peposit Account:					3. ADDITI	ONAL FE	ES	-	
Deposit Account Number Deposit Baker Botts L.L.P.   1051   2051   2051   2051   2051   2051   2051   2051   2051   2052   205					Large Entity	Small Entit	ע		
Account Number Deposit Baker Botts L.L.P.    Baker Botts L.L.P.   1051   2052   2052   Surcharge - late filing fee or cover sheet   1052   2052   Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet   1053   1054   1055   10							Fee Description	Fee Paid	
Deposit Account   Baker Botts L.L.P.   1052   2052   Surcharge - late provisional filling fee or cover sheet   1053		02-4377			1051	2051	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		
The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)  ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Credit any overpayments ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee to the above-identified deposit account.  ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee to the above-identified deposit account.  ☐ Sequesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action ☐ Learne Entity ☐ Small Entity ☐ Substituting fee ☐ Subs	Deposit Account	Baker Bo	otts L.L.P.		1052	2052			
Charge fee(s) indicated below   Credit any overpayments   1812   1812   1814   1804   1804   1804   1805		e authorized	to: (chack all that apply)		1053	1053	Non-English specification		
Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)   1804   1804   1804   Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action   1805   1				erpayments	1812	1812	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination		
The above-identified deposit account.  FEE CALCULATION  1. BASIC FILING FEE  Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description  1. 2001 Utility filing fee 1001 2002 Design filing fee 1002 2002 Design filing fee 1003 2003 Plant filing fee 1004 2004 Reissue filing fee 1005 2005 Provisional filing fee 1005 2005 Provisional filing fee 1006 SUBTOTAL (1) (\$\$) 0  2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Fee fer Fee Fee from Ever Fee Fer Fee Fee for Ever Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee F	I= *	• •		fee(s)	1804	1804			
Second Code   Fee Calculation   1251   1252   2252   Extension for reply within first month   1252   2253   Extension for reply within second month   1253   2253   Extension for reply within second month   1253   2253   Extension for reply within second month   1254   2254   Extension for reply within second month   1255   2255   Extension for reply within first month   1254   2254   Extension for reply within forth month   1255   2255   Extension for reply within forth month   1256   2255   Extension for reply within first month   1256   2255   2255   2255   2255   2255   2255   2255   2255   2255   2255		. ,		fee	1805	1805	Requesting publication of SIR after		
1. BASIC FILING FEE   Large Entity   Small Entity		<u>`</u>			1251	2251	Extension for reply within first month		
Large Entity Small Entity Fee	1 BASIC E				1252	2252	Extension for reply within second month		
Fee			•		1253	2253	Extension for reply within third month		
1001   2001   Utility filing fee   1255   2255   Extension for reply within fifth month   1002   2002   Design filing fee   1401   2401   Notice of Appeal   250   1403   2403   Request for oral hearing   250   1403   2403   Request for oral hearing   250   1403   2403   Request for oral hearing   250   1451	Fee Fee	Fee Fee	Fee Description	Fee Paid	1254	2254	Extension for reply within fourth month		
1002   2002   Design filing fee   1401   2401   Notice of Appeal   250			Utility filing fee		1255	2255	Extension for reply within fifth month	<u> </u>	
1003   2003   Plant filing fee   1402   2402   Filing a brief in support of an appeal   250	1002	2002			1401	2401	Notice of Appeal		
1005   2005   Provisional filing fee   1451   1451   Petition to institute a public use proceeding   SUBTOTAL (1)   (\$) 0   1452   2452   Petition to revive - unavoidable   1453   2453   Petition to revive - unintentional   1453   2453   Petition to revive - unintentional   1501   2501   Utility issue fee (or reissue)   1502   2502   Design issue fee   1503   2503   Plant issue fee   1503   2503   Plant issue fee   1503   2503   Plant issue fee   1600   1460   Petitions to the Commissioner   1460   1460   Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)   1807   Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)   1807   1807   Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)   1808   1809	1003	2003			1402	2402	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	250	
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0  1452 2452 Petition to revive - unavoidable  2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Fee from Fee Paid  Total Claims	1004	2004	Reissue filing fee		1403	2403	Request for oral hearing		
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Fee from Fee Paid Independent Claims Aultiple Dependent  Large Entity   Small Entity Fee Code Code 1202   2202   Claims in excess of 20 1201   2201   Independent claims in excess of 3 1203   2203   Multiple dependent claims over original patent 1204   2204 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent 1205   2205 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent  SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0  1453   2453   Petition to revive - unintentional 1501   2501   Utility issue fee (or reissue) 1502   2502   Design issue fee 1503   2503   Plant issue fee 1504   1806   1807   Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) 1806   1807   1807   Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) 1806   1806   Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt   1809   2809   Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a)) 1810   2810   For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1801   2801   Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 1802   1802   Request for expedited examination of a design application  Other fee (specify)	1005	2005	Provisional filing fee		1451	1451	Petition to institute a public use proceeding		
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Fee from below Fee Paid Total Claims -20 = X = 0   1502   2502   Design issue fee   1503   2503   Plant issue fee			SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 0		1452	2452	Petition to revive - unavoidable		
Total Claims					1453	2453	Petition to revive - unintentional		
Total Claims				1501	2501	Utility issue fee (or reissue)			
Independent Claims	Extra Claims below Fee Paid			1502	2502	Design issue fee			
Claims Multiple Dependent					1503	2503	Plant issue fee		
Large Entity   Small Entity   Fee   Fee   Fee   Description   1806   1806   1806   Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt   Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)   1809   2809   Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))   1203   2203   Multiple dependent claims in excess of 3   1204   2204   Reissue independent claims over original patent   1801   2801   Request for Continued Examination (RCE)   1809   1802   Request for expedited examination of a design application   1807   1808   1809   180	Claims		` = L X <del> </del>		1460	1460	Petitions to the Commissioner		
Fee Code Code Code Code Code Code Code Code				10	1807	1807	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)		
Code 1202					1806	1806	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt		
1201   1201   100   1201   100	Code	Code	·		8021	8021			
1203				xcess of 3	1809	2809			
1204 Reissue independent claims over original patent  1801 2801 Request for Continued Examination (RCE)  1205 **Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent  1802 Request for expedited examination of a design application  Other fee (specify)  SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0.00	1203	2203	•		1810	2810	For each additional invention to be		
1205 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent 1802 Request for expedited examination of a design application Other fee (specify)	1204	2204		claims	1801	2801	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0.00 Other fee (specify)	1205	2205	** Reissue claims in exc				Request for expedited examination		
					- 1				
**or number previously haid if greater: For Paissues see above   Reduced by Basic Filling Fee Paid   SUBTOTAL (3)   (\$) 250.00	**or number	**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above					Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 250.0	00	

(Complete (if applicable)) SUBMITTED BY Registration No. Name (Print/Type) Robert L. Maier 54,291 Telephone 212-408-2500 12/14/2006 Date Signature

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE REFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

On Appeal to the Board of Appeals and Interferences

Applicant:

Paek et al.

Serial No.:

09/830,899

Group Art Unit: 2171

Filed:

August 13, 2001

Examiner:

Leroux, Etienne Pierre

Title:

**DESCRIPTION SCHEMES FOR MPEG-7 IMAGE/VIDEO** 

**CONTENTS DESCRIPTION** 

#### BRIEF ON APPEAL

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

December 14, 2006

Date of Deposit

Robert L. Maier

54,291

Attorney Name

Registration No.

Signature

December 14, 2006

Date of Signature

12/19/2006 TBESHAH1 00000047 024377

09830899

01 FC:2402

250.00 DA

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABL	E OF A	UTHO	RITIES	ii			
I.	REAL	PART	Y IN INTEREST	1			
II.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES						
III.	STATUS OF CLAIMS						
IV.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS						
V.	SUMN	MARY (	OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER	2			
VI.	GROU	JNDS C	F REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL	5			
VII.	ARGU	JMENT	,	5			
	A.	The Re	ejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in view of Eleftheriadis Should versed	5			
		1.	Relevant Case Law	5			
		2.	Summary of Arguments	6			
		3.	Claims 1-43 Are Not Anticipated Because Eleftheriadis Does Not Disclose "performing object extraction processing to generate multimedia object descriptions"	7			
		4.	Claims 1-43 Are Not Anticipated Because Eleftheriadis Does Not Disclose "processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions" or "multimedia object hierarchy descriptions"	10			
		5.	Claims 3, 7, 10, 15, 19, 23, 26 and 31 Are Further Not Anticipated Because Eleftheriadis Does Not Disclose "feature extraction processing"	12			
	B.	Concl	usion	14			
VIII.	CLAIMS APPENDIX						
IX.	EVIDENCE APPENDIX						
Χ.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX						

### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### **CASES**

In re Saunders,	
444 F.2d 599, 170 U.S.P.Q. 213 (C.C.P.A. 1971)	6
Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co. v. Johnson & Johnson Orthopedics, Inc., 976 F.2d 1559, 24 U.S.P.Q.2d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	6
Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California,	
814 F.2d 628, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1051 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	6
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	2, 5, 6
37 C.F.R. § 41.37	1
37 C.F.R. § 41.20(b)(2)	1

NY02:567568.4 -ii-



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE SEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

On Appeal to the Board of Appeals and Interference

Applicant:

Paek et al.

Serial No.:

09/830,899

Group Art Unit: 2171

Filed:

August 13, 2001

Examiner:

Leroux, Etienne Pierre

Title:

DESCRIPTION SCHEMES FOR MPEG-7 IMAGE/VIDEO

CONTENTS DESCRIPTION

#### BRIEF ON APPEAL

This brief on appeal is filed in response to an Office Action issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (the "PTO") on July 3, 2006. On November 3, 2006, Appellants filed a Notice of Appeal in the above-identified patent application from the rejection of claims 1-43. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37, this Appeal Brief is submitted in support of the Appeal of the rejections of record. The fee for this Appeal, as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 41.20(b)(2), is provided herewith.

For the reasons set forth below, the rejections of pending claims 1-43 should be reversed.

#### I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York, by way of assignment from the named inventors, recorded on August 13, 2001 at Reel 012068, Frame 0221.

#### II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellants and the Appellants' legal representatives are unaware of any pending appeals or interferences related to the present application which will directly affect or be directly affected by, or have a bearing on, the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

#### III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

In the July 3, 2006 Office Action, claims 1-43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,079,566 to Eleftheriadis et al. (hereinafter "Eleftheriadis"). Appellants respectfully traverse the rejections of record.

A copy of all of the pending claims is attached hereto in the Claims Appendix at page A-1.

#### IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

Subsequent to the issuance of the Final Official Action dated July 3, 2006, no further amendments to the claims have been filed by Appellants.

#### V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The claimed subject matter described in the above-identified application is directed to a method and system for generating a description record from multimedia information. (e.g., Specification, page 4, lines 24-27). Specifically, the claimed subject matter of the present application has useful applications in, e.g., cataloging, indexing and searching multimedia content, as is described in more detail below. (Specification, page 4, line 24 - p. 5, line 12).

Provided herein are some non-limiting references to the specification for illustrative purposes only. Independent claim 1 is directed to a system for generating a description record from multimedia information, comprising:

at least one multimedia information input interface receiving said multimedia information; [e.g., specification, p. 26 ("Digital image data 710 is applied to the computer system via link 711."); pp. 27-28, Fig. 8]

a computer processor, coupled to said at least one multimedia information input interface, receiving said multimedia information therefrom [e.g., specification, p. 26 ("Digital image data 710 is applied to the computer system via link 711."); pp. 27-28, Fig. 81, processing said multimedia information by performing object extraction processing [e.g., specification, p. 26; Fig. 7, "object extraction 720"; Fig. 3] to generate multimedia object descriptions [e.g., specification, p. 26, "object set 721," "object descriptions"; Fig. 5] from said multimedia information, and processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing [e.g., specification, p. 27; Fig. 7, "object hierarchy extraction and construction module 730;" p. 28; Fig. 8, module 830] to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions [e.g., pp. 18-19, 23, 25] Figs. 3, 4a, 4b, 5, 6a, 6b] indicative of an organization of said object descriptions fe.g., pp. 18-19, 23, 25; Figs. 3, 4a, 4b, 5, 6a, 6b], wherein at least one description record including said multimedia object descriptions and said multimedia object hierarchy descriptions is generated for content embedded within said multimedia information [throughout the specification, it is described in numerous instances that the descriptions are generated for multimedia content, e.g., p. 5, line 12; Fig. 3]; and

a data storage system, operatively coupled to said processor, for storing said at least one description record [e.g., Fig. 7, 740; Fig. 8, 840, and related descriptions in specification].

(Claim 1).

Importantly, the claimed subject matter includes the recitation of "performing object extraction processing to generate multimedia object descriptions from said multimedia information, and processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions indicative of an organization of said object descriptions, wherein at least one description record including said multimedia object descriptions and said multimedia object hierarchy descriptions is generated for content embedded within said multimedia information." (Claim 1) (See, e.g., Specification pp. 11-14, 26-29; Figs. 2, 3, 7, 8; and related citations to the specification and drawings as indicated above). Similar recitations are recited in independent method claim 17, including, e.g.:

receiving said multimedia information [e.g., specification, p. 26 ("Digital image data 710 is applied to the computer system via link 711."); pp. 27-28, Fig. 8];

processing said multimedia information by performing object extraction processing [e.g., specification, p. 26; Fig. 7, "object extraction 720"; Fig. 3] to generate multimedia object descriptions from said multimedia information [e.g., specification, p. 26, "object set 721," "object descriptions"];

processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing [e.g., specification, p. 26; Fig. 7, "object extraction 720"; Fig. 3] to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions [e.g., specification, p. 26, "object set 721," "object descriptions"; Fig. 5] indicative of an organization of said object descriptions, wherein at least one description record including said multimedia object descriptions and said multimedia object hierarchy descriptions is generated [throughout the specification, it is described in numerous instances that the descriptions are generated for multimedia content, e.g., p. 5, line 12; Fig. 3]

storing said at least one description record [e.g., Fig. 7, 740; Fig. 8, 840, and related descriptions in specification].

(Claim 17).

and in independent computer-readable medium claim 33, which includes, inter alia:

one or more multimedia object descriptions, generated by performing object extraction processing [e.g., specification, p. 26; Fig. 7, "object extraction 720"; Fig. 3], said object descriptions describing corresponding multimedia objects [e.g., specification, p. 26, "object set 721," "object descriptions"] [throughout the specification, it is described in numerous instances that the descriptions are generated for multimedia content, e.g., p. 5, line 12; Fig. 3];

one or more features characterizing each of said multimedia object descriptions;

one or more multimedia object hierarchy descriptions indicative of an organization of said object descriptions [e.g., specification, p. 26, "object set 721," "object descriptions"; Fig. 5], if any, relating at least a portion of said one or more multimedia objects in accordance with one or more characteristics [e.g., specification, p. 26, "object set 721," "object descriptions"; Fig. 5].

(Claim 33).

#### VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Claims 1-43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,079,566 to Eleftheriadis et al. (hereinafter "Eleftheriadis"). Appellants respectfully request review of all rejections of record.

#### VII. <u>ARGUMENT</u>

Preliminarily, Applicants note for the record that Appellants do not acquiesce to or otherwise agree with comments in the previous (and now moot) April 13, 2006 Office Action, including, in particular, the comments regarding "Priority" on pages 2-3 and the "Response to Arguments" on pages 8-11. Because that April 13, 2006 Office Action is now withdrawn in favor of the more recently issued July 3, 2006 Office Action, Appellants consider the statements regarding priority and the prior art (including the previously-cited Application Publication No. 2001/0000962 of Rajan) to be moot and not of record. Accordingly, Appellants focus herein only on the present rejections of record in the above-referenced application as set forth in the July 3, 2006 Office Action.

## A. The Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) in view of Eleftheriadis Should Be Reversed

In the July 3, 2006 Office Action, claims 1-43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,079,566 to Eleftheriadis et al. (hereinafter "Eleftheriadis"). Appellants respectfully traverse the rejections of record.

#### 1. Relevant Case Law

To establish an anticipation rejection, the cited reference must teach every element of the claimed subject matter. 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) states, in pertinent part, that "[a] person shall be entitled to a patent unless the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one

year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States." A patent claim is thus anticipated under Section 102 if, among other things, "identity of invention" is shown.

Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co. v. Johnson & Johnson Orthopedics, Inc., 976 F.2d 1559, 1565, 24 U.S.P.Q.2d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 1985). In finding identity of invention, one "must show that each element of the claim in issue is found ... in a single prior art reference." Id. The Federal Circuit has held that, "[a] claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference."

Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1051 (Fed. Cir. 1987). Moreover, "[a] prior art publication cannot be modified by the knowledge of those skilled in the art for purposes of anticipation." In re Saunders, 444 F.2d 599, 602-03, 170 U.S.P.Q. 213 (C.C.P.A. 1971).

#### 2. Summary of Arguments

Eleftheriadis does not disclose or suggest a technique for generating a description record from multimedia information including, among other things, "performing object extraction processing to generate multimedia object descriptions," or "processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions," as recited in claims 1 and 17, or, as similarly recited in claim 33, "multimedia object descriptions, generated by performing object extraction processing," or "one or more multimedia object hierarchy descriptions indicative of an organization of said object descriptions, if any, relating at least a portion of said one or more multimedia objects in accordance with one or more characteristics." Additionally, Eleftheriadis does not disclose or suggest the claimed "feature extraction processing" of claims 3, 7, 10, 15, 19, 23, 26 and 31. As discussed more fully herein below, because Eleftheriadis fails to disclose or suggest at least these

claimed features, Appellants respectfully submit that Eleftheriadis cannot anticipate the claimed subject matter and that, accordingly, all rejections of record should be reversed.

3. Claims 1-43 Are Not Anticipated Because Eleftheriadis Does Not Disclose "performing object extraction processing to generate multimedia object descriptions"

Independent claim 1 is directed to a system for generating a description record from multimedia information, comprising, *inter alia*:

a computer processor, coupled to said at least one multimedia information input interface, receiving said multimedia information therefrom, processing said multimedia information by performing object extraction processing to generate multimedia object descriptions from said multimedia information, and processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions indicative of an organization of said object descriptions, wherein at least one description record including said multimedia object descriptions and said multimedia object hierarchy descriptions is generated for content embedded within said multimedia information.

(Claim 1).

By way of background, the claimed subject matter relates to the MPEG-7 standard, which comprises techniques for describing and organizing multimedia information (in fact, the inventors of the claimed subject matter contributed to the development of that standard through participation in a standards-setting body) (*See* Specification, p. 2, lines 11-30). As described in the Background of the Invention (starting at p. 1 of the Specification), prior systems relate to means for searching textual information, both on the Internet and locally. However, at the time of the present application, there was no means in the art for searching multimedia content. An aim of MPEG-7 is to process multimedia such as video data to extract information about what is shown in the video and provide descriptions that may later aid in searching or cataloging the video. "Performing object extraction processing to generate multimedia object

descriptions," as recited in the independent claims of the present application (and, by virtue of dependency, as is included in all depending claims), is an important procedure for addressing shortcomings of the prior art. (*See*, e.g., Specification, p. 26; Figs. 7 and 8).

Eleftheriadis is directed to a system and method for processing object-based audiovisual information (which relates to a different standard – the MPEG-4 standard) which is capable of flexibly encoding, storing and accessing a variety of data objects. *See* Eleftheriadis, Abstract. The "Background of the Invention" portion of Eleftheriadis describes the challenges in multimedia coding and storage for graphics, and, in particular, for streaming video. *See* Eleftheriadis, col. 1, lines 17-59. Eleftheriadis addresses those challenges with a system and method as described below:

The invention overcoming these and other problems in the art relates to a system, method, and associated medium for processing object-based audiovisual information which encodes, stores and retrieves not just overall frames, but individual segments containing AV objects which are then assembled into a scene according to embedded file information. The invention consequently provides very efficient streaming of and random access to component AV objects for even complex scenes. (Eleftheriadis, col. 1, line 62 – col. 2, line 3).

Accordingly, Eleftheriadis addresses completely different issues (i.e., encoding/unencoding and playback of multimedia information (such as streaming video)) from the objects of the claimed subject matter herein (i.e., receiving already-encoded (or already-composed) multimedia information (such as streaming video) and indexing/classifying the content to facilitate subsequent text and/or other searching of that multimedia information). Indeed, this distinction is inherent in the differences between the subject matter of Eleftheriadis (e.g., related to MPEG-4 video composition/encoding/presentation) and the subject matter of the present invention (e.g., related to MPEG-7 video description), and would be immediately apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Eleftheriadis does not disclose or suggest at least the feature of "performing object extraction processing to generate multimedia object descriptions" as recited in claims 1 and 17 or "one or more multimedia object descriptions, generated by performing object extraction" as recited in claim 33. Indeed, the lack of such disclosure in Eleftheriadis is not surprising, since object extraction processing to generate multimedia object descriptions is entirely unnecessary for the purposes of MPEG-4 and Eleftheriadis (which are directed to, e.g., processing/encoding multimedia information, such as streaming video).

The Examiner, on pp. 2-3 of the Office Action, maintains that Eleftheriadis discloses all elements of claim 1. Appellants respectfully disagree.

In particular, the Examiner alleges that col. 7, lines 35-40 of Eleftheriadis discloses the claimed object extraction (Office Action, p. 2, including a citation to read operation module 290, object table 370, and MPEG-4 player 360). However, the entirety of the citation provides:

In the diagram of FIG. 4, CPU 380 accesses storage device 280 (such as a hard drive) to cause a read operation to be performed on an MPEG-4 file at module 290, and a next segment header is read at module 300. The read operation module 290 accesses an object table 370 for translation purposes, and communicates extracted audiovisual data to MPEG-4 player 360, which may comprise a video buffer, screen, audio channels and related output devices. ID check module 330 checks for an ID in the segment header, transmitting the ID to the Get Object ID module 320, or if not present moving back to next segment module 300. After MPEG-4 player 360 has finished presenting the current audiovisual data, it transmits a request through request module 340 for the next AL PDU (ID), or may request a random AL PDU (ID) through module 350, which in turn communicates that information to the ID check module 310. Eleftheriadis, col. 7, lines 34-50.

This portion of Eleftheriadis is clearly directed to a procedure for reading/playing back MPEG-4 encoded video from a storage device, and has no relation whatsoever to the feature of "performing object extraction processing to generate multimedia object descriptions" as recited

in claims 1 and 17 or "one or more multimedia object descriptions, generated by performing object extraction" as recited in claim 33. Again, this distinction would be readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art.

For at least this reason, Appellants respectfully submit that Eleftheriadis fails to disclose or suggest all elements of independent claim 1 and its corresponding depending claims. Eleftheriadis therefore cannot properly anticipate the claimed subject matter of claims 1-43 for at least these reasons. Appellants respectfully submit that this alone is sufficient basis to reverse all rejections of record.

4. Claims 1-43 Are Not Anticipated Because Eleftheriadis
Does Not Disclose "processing said generated multimedia
object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate
multimedia object hierarchy descriptions" or "multimedia
object hierarchy descriptions"

In addition to the limitations described above, claims 1 and 17 also recite "processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate multimedia object descriptions," and claim 33 similarly recites "multimedia object hierarchy descriptions."

As discussed above, because Eleftheriadis fails to disclose or suggest generating "multimedia object descriptions," Eleftheriadis cannot possibly disclose or suggest "processing said generated multimedia object descriptions". For at least this reason, this additional limitation of claims 1 and 17 is not disclosed or suggested by Eleftheriadis.

Additionally, the Examiner relies on col. 3, lines 35-40 of Eleftheriadis as allegedly disclosing "processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions." (Office Action, p. 3, including citation to a "tree-structured approach"). The full citation provides:

NY02:567568.4 -10-

In terms of the AL PDU, BIFS and related data structures under MPEG-4, that standard uses an object-based approach. Individual components of a scene are coded as independent objects (e.g. arbitrarily shaped visual objects, or separately coded sounds). The audiovisual objects are transmitted to a receiving terminal along with scene description information, which defines how the objects should be positioned in space and time, in order to construct the scene to be presented to a user. The scene description follows a tree structured approach, similar to the Virtual Reality Modeling Language (VRML) known in the art. The encoding of such scene description information is more fully defined in Part 1 of the official ISO MPEG-4 specification (MPEG-4 Systems), known in the art. BIFS information is transmitted in its own elementary stream, with its own time and clock stamp information to ensure proper coordination of events at the receiving terminal.

Eleftheriadis, col. 3, lines 29-45.

This lone reference in Eleftheriadis to a "tree-structured approach" is unrelated to the claimed "processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions." As explained in detail in the quoted portion of Eleftheriadis above, that reference refers to methods described in MPEG-4 and, e.g., VRML, for providing multimedia scene information using a tree-structure (for, e.g., streaming video playback/scene presentation). However, that tree structure has nothing to do with describing the content of the multimedia information for later search/retrieval. Accordingly, the cited portion of Eleftheriadis bears no relation to the claimed "processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions" as recited in independent claims 1 and 17. For similar reasons, the "one or more multimedia object hierarchy descriptions indicative of an organization of said object descriptions," of independent claim 33 are not disclosed or suggested by Eleftheriadis.

The claimed hierarchy, as further described in an embodiment, e.g., at pp. 17-20 of the present application, relates to an object hierarchy for description of particular video objects with varying levels of specificity – for purposes of content description, and not hierarchy

NY02:567568.4 -11-

of a scene for composing or presenting the scene or playing back streaming multimedia information. The claimed object hierarchy processing can produce a "physical hierarchy" and a "logical hierarchy," which relate to the physical location of objects in an image, and a higher level hierarchy based on semantic descriptions of the objects in the image, respectively. (*See* Specification, p. 17; Fig. 4). The object hierarchy descriptions may include semantic information which is useful for searching a library of multimedia segments, such as "names of the picture, the names of persons in the picture, the location where the picture was taken, the event that is represented by the picture, the date of the picture, color features...." (Specification, p. 20).

Accordingly, because Eleftheriadis fails to disclose or suggest at least these additional claimed features, Eleftheriadis fails to anticipate independent claims 1, 17 and 33. Additionally, because all dependent claims contain the foregoing limitations through dependency from the independent claims, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejections of record should be reversed as to all claims.

5. Claims 3, 7, 10, 15, 19, 23, 26 and 31 Are Further Not Anticipated Because Eleftheriadis Does Not Disclose "feature extraction processing"

Claims 3, 7, 10, 15, 19, 23, 26 and 31 are not anticipated by Eleftheriadis by virtue of their dependency from independent claims 1 and 17, and for the reasons discussed above. Additionally, these claims include the further limitation of "feature extraction processing." (See Specification, p. 26). Regardless of the outcome with respect to the independent claims, claims 3, 7, 10, 15, 19, 23, 26 and 31 are independently patentable over Eleftheriadis for the additional reason that Eleftheriadis fails to disclose or suggest "feature extraction processing."

NY02:567568.4 -12-

In the Office Action, p. 3, the Examiner asserts that the following portion of Eleftheriadis describes this claimed feature:

An overview of the invention is shown in FIG. 1 for a first illustrative embodiment relating to a system using stored files, and FIG. 2 for a second illustrative embodiment relating to a system using streaming files. In a streaming implementation, the user views incoming audiovisual portions as they arrive, which may be temporarily stored in electronic memory such as RAM or equivalent memory, but the audiovisual data is not necessarily assembled into a fixed file. In either case, an MPEG-4 file 100 consists of a file header 20 containing global information about the AV objects contained within it, followed by an arbitrary number of segments 30 containing the AV objects within AL PDUs 60 and BIFS data consistent with the MPEG-4 standard known in the art. AV objects 40 can represent textual, graphical, video, audio or other information. Eleftheriadis, col. 3, lines 14-28.

Appellants cannot find any reference in the above-cited paragraph to the claimed "feature extraction." If the Examiner is referring to, e.g., "AV objects 40 can represent textual, graphical, video, audio or other information" as being "features," and that, as a result, this somehow equates to the "feature extraction processing" of the claimed subject matter, Appellants respectfully disagree. Again, as Eleftheriadis relates to presenting multimedia, it has no need to "extract" information about that multimedia it has presented. The cited paragraph of Eleftheriadis in particular relates to the playback of an, e.g., MPEG-4-type multimedia file, and not to extracting information from multimedia. Accordingly, for at least this additional reason, Appellants respectfully submit that the rejections of claims 3, 7, 10, 15, 19, 23, 26 and 31, and the claims which depend from them, should be reversed.

NY02:567568.4 -13-

#### B. Conclusion

For at least the reasons indicated above, Appellants respectfully submit that the claimed subject matter, as discussed above, is not anticipated by the cited prior art. Reversal of the Examiner's rejections of the claims is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 14, 2006

Paul A. Ragusa

By:

Patent Office Reg. No. 38,587

Robert L. Maier

Patent Office Reg. No. 54,291

Attorneys for Appellants Baker Botts L.L.P.

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, NY 10112-4498

Telephone: (212) 408-2500

#### VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX

Claims 1-43 are pending in this application:

- 1. (Original) A system for generating a description record from multimedia information, comprising:
  - (a) at least one multimedia information input interface receiving said multimedia information;
  - (b) a computer processor, coupled to said at least one multimedia information input interface, receiving said multimedia information therefrom, processing said multimedia information by performing object extraction processing to generate multimedia object descriptions from said multimedia information, and processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions indicative of an organization of said object descriptions, wherein at least one description record including said multimedia object descriptions and said multimedia object hierarchy descriptions is generated for content embedded within said multimedia information; and
  - (c) a data storage system, operatively coupled to said processor, for storing said at least one description record.
  - (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein said multimedia information comprises image information, said multimedia object descriptions comprise image object descriptions, and said multimedia object hierarchy descriptions comprise image object hierarchy descriptions.
  - 3. (Original) The system of claim 2, wherein said object extraction processing comprises:
  - (a) image segmentation processing to segment each image in said image information into regions within said image; and
  - (b) feature extraction processing to generate one or more feature descriptions for one or more of said regions;

whereby said generated object descriptions comprise said one or more feature descriptions for one or more of said regions.

- 4. (Original) The system of claim 3, wherein said one or more feature descriptions are selected from the group consisting of text annotations, color, texture, shape, size, and position.
- 5. (Original) The system of claim 2, wherein said object hierarchy processing comprises physical object hierarchy organization to generate physical object hierarchy descriptions of said image object descriptions that are based on spatial characteristics of said objects, such that said image object hierarchy descriptions comprise physical descriptions.
- 6. (Original) The system of claim 5, wherein said object hierarchy processing further comprises logical object hierarchy organization to generate logical object hierarchy descriptions of said image object descriptions that are based on semantic characteristics of said objects, such that said image object hierarchy descriptions comprise both physical and logical descriptions.
- 7. (Original) The system of claim 6, wherein said object extraction processing comprises:
- (a) image segmentation processing to segment each image in said image information into regions within said image; and
- (b) feature extraction processing to generate object descriptions for one or more of said region; and wherein said physical hierarchy organization and said logical hierarchy organization generate hierarchy descriptions of said object descriptions for said one or more of said regions.
- 8. (Original) The system of claim 7, further comprising an encoder receiving said image object hierarchy descriptions and said image object descriptions, and encoding said image object hierarchy descriptions and said image object descriptions into encoded description information, wherein said data storage system is operative to store said encoded description information as said at least one description record.

- 9. (Original) The system of claim 1, wherein said multimedia information comprises video information, said multimedia object descriptions comprise video object descriptions including both event descriptions and object descriptions, and said multimedia hierarchy descriptions comprise video object hierarchy descriptions including both event hierarchy descriptions and object hierarchy descriptions.
- 10. (Original) The system of claim 9, wherein said object extraction processing comprises:
- (a) temporal video segmentation processing to temporally segment said video information into one or more video events or groups of video events and generate event descriptions for said video events,
- (b) video object extraction processing to segment said one or more video events or groups of video events into one or more regions, and to generate object descriptions for said regions; and
- (c) feature extraction processing to generate one or more event feature descriptions for said one or more video events or groups of video events, and one or more object feature descriptions for said one or more regions; wherein said generated video object descriptions include said event feature descriptions and said object descriptions.
- 11. (Original) The system of claim 10, wherein said one or more event feature descriptions are selected from the group consisting of text annotations, shot transition, camera motion, time and key frame, and wherein said one or more object feature descriptions are selected from the group consisting of color, texture, shape, size, position, motion, and time.
- 12. (Original) The system of claim 9, wherein said object hierarchy processing comprises physical event hierarchy organization to generate physical event hierarchy descriptions of said video object descriptions that are based on temporal characteristics of said video objects, such that said video hierarchy descriptions comprise temporal descriptions.
- 13. (Original) The system of claim 12, wherein said object hierarchy processing further comprises logical event hierarchy organization to

generate logical event hierarchy descriptions of said video object descriptions that are based on semantic characteristics of said video objects, such that said hierarchy descriptions comprise both temporal and logical descriptions.

- 14. (Original) The system of claim 13, wherein said object hierarchy processing further comprises physical and logical object hierarchy extraction processing, receiving said temporal and logical descriptions and generating object hierarchy descriptions for video objects embedded within said video information, such that said video hierarchy descriptions comprise temporal and logical event and object descriptions.
- 15. (Original) The system of claim 14, wherein said object extraction processing comprises:
- (a) temporal video segmentation processing to temporally segment said video information into one or more video events or groups of video events and generate event descriptions for said video events,
- (b) video object extraction processing to segment said one or more video events or groups of video events into one or more regions, and to generate object descriptions for said regions; and
- (c) feature extraction processing to generate one or more event feature descriptions for said one or more video events or groups of video events, and one or more object feature descriptions for said one or more regions; wherein said generated video object descriptions include said event feature descriptions and said object descriptions, and wherein said physical event hierarchy organization and said logical event hierarchy organization generate hierarchy descriptions from said event feature descriptions, and wherein said physical object hierarchy organization and said logical object hierarchy organization generate hierarchy descriptions from said object feature descriptions.
- 16. (Original) The system of claim 15, further comprising an encoder receiving said video object hierarchy descriptions and said video object descriptions, and encoding said video object hierarchy descriptions and said video object descriptions into encoded description information,

- wherein said data storage system is operative to store said encoded description information as said at least one description record.
- 17. (Original) A method for generating a description record from multimedia information, comprising the steps of:
- (a) receiving said multimedia information;
- (b) processing said multimedia information by performing object extraction processing to generate multimedia object descriptions from said multimedia information;
- (c) processing said generated multimedia object descriptions by object hierarchy processing to generate multimedia object hierarchy descriptions indicative of an organization of said object descriptions, wherein at least one description record including said multimedia object descriptions and said multimedia object hierarchy descriptions is generated for content embedded within said multimedia information; and
- (d) storing said at least one description record.
- 18. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein said multimedia information comprises image information, said multimedia object descriptions comprise image object descriptions, and said multimedia object hierarchy descriptions comprise image object hierarchy descriptions.
- 19. (Previously amended) The method of claim 18, wherein said object extraction processing step comprises the sub-steps of:
- (a) image segmentation processing to segment each image in said image information into regions within said image; and
- (b) feature extraction processing to generate one or more feature descriptions for one or more of said regions; whereby said generated image object descriptions comprise said one or more feature descriptions for one or more of said regions.

- 20. (Original) The method of claim 19, wherein said one or more feature descriptions are selected from the group consisting of text annotations, color, texture, shape, size, and position.
- 21. (Original) The method of claim 18, wherein said step of object hierarchy processing includes the sub-step of physical object hierarchy organization to generate physical object hierarchy descriptions of said image object descriptions that are based on spatial characteristics of said objects, such that said image hierarchy descriptions comprise physical descriptions.
- 22. (Original) The method of claim 21, said step of object hierarchy processing further includes the sub-step of logical object hierarchy organization to generate logical object hierarchy descriptions of said image object descriptions that are based on semantic characteristics of said objects, such that said image object hierarchy descriptions comprise both physical and logical descriptions.
- 23. (Original) The method of claim 22, \ wherein said step of object extraction processing further includes the sub-steps of:
- (a) image segmentation processing to segment each image in said image information into regions within said image; and
- (b) feature extraction processing to generate object descriptions for one or more of said region; and wherein said physical object hierarchy organization sub-step and said logical object hierarchy organization sub-step generate hierarchy descriptions of said object descriptions for said one or more of said regions.
- 24. (Previously presented) The method of claim 18, further comprising the step of encoding said image object descriptions and said image object hierarchy descriptions into encoded description information prior to said data storage step.
- 25. (Original) The method of claim 17, wherein said multimedia information comprises video information, said multimedia object

descriptions comprise video object descriptions including both event descriptions and object descriptions, and said multimedia hierarchy descriptions comprise video object hierarchy descriptions including both event hierarchy descriptions and object hierarchy descriptions.

- 26. (Original) The method of claim 25, wherein said step of object extraction processing comprises the sub-steps of:
- (a) temporal video segmentation processing to temporally segment said video information into one or more video events or groups of video events and generate event descriptions for said video events,
- (b) video object extraction processing to segment said one or more video events or groups of video events into one or more regions, and to generate object descriptions for said regions; and
- (c) feature extraction processing to generate one or more event feature descriptions for said one or more video events or groups of video events, and one or more object feature descriptions for said one or more regions; wherein said generated video object descriptions include said event feature descriptions and said object descriptions.
- 27. (Original) The method of claim 26, wherein said one or more event feature descriptions are selected from the group consisting of text annotations, shot transition, camera motion, time and key frame, and wherein said one or more object feature descriptions are selected from the group consisting of color, texture, shape, size, position, motion, and time.
- 28. (Original) The method of claim 25, wherein said step of object hierarchy processing includes the sub-step of physical event hierarchy organization to generate physical event hierarchy descriptions of said video object descriptions that are based on temporal characteristics of said video objects, such that said video hierarchy descriptions comprise temporal descriptions.
- 29. (Original) The method of claim 28, wherein said step of object hierarchy processing further includes the sub-step of logical event hierarchy organization to generate logical event hierarchy descriptions of said video object descriptions that are based on semantic characteristics of

said video objects, such that said hierarchy descriptions comprise both temporal and logical descriptions.

- 30. (Original) The method of claim 29, wherein said step of object hierarchy processing further comprises the sub-step physical and logical object hierarchy extraction processing, receiving said temporal and logical descriptions and generating object hierarchy descriptions for video objects embedded within said video information, such that said video hierarchy descriptions comprise temporal and logical event and object descriptions.
- 31. (Original) The method of claim 30, wherein said step of object extraction processing comprises the sub-steps of:
- (a) temporal video segmentation processing to temporally segment said video information into one or more video events or groups of video events and generate event descriptions for said video events,
- (b) video object extraction processing to segment said one or more video events or groups of video events into one or more regions, and to generate object descriptions for said regions; and
- (c) feature extraction processing to generate one or more event feature descriptions for said one or more video events or groups of video events, and one or more object feature descriptions for said one or more regions; wherein said generated video object descriptions include said event feature descriptions and said object descriptions, and wherein said physical event hierarchy organization and said logical event hierarchy organization generate hierarchy descriptions from said event feature descriptions, and wherein said physical object hierarchy organization and said logical object hierarchy organization generate hierarchy descriptions from said object feature descriptions.
- 32. (Previously presented) The method of claim 31, further comprising the step of encoding said video object descriptions and said video object hierarchy descriptions into encoded description information prior to said data storage step.
- 33. (Previously presented) A computer readable media containing digital information with at least one multimedia description record

describing multimedia content for corresponding multimedia information, the description record comprising:

- (a) one or more multimedia object descriptions, generated by performing object extraction processing, said object descriptions describing corresponding multimedia objects;
- (b) one or more features characterizing each of said multimedia object descriptions; and
- (c) one or more multimedia object hierarchy descriptions indicative of an organization of said object descriptions, if any, relating at least a portion of said one or more multimedia objects in accordance with one or more characteristics.
- 34. (Original) The computer readable media of claim 33, wherein said multimedia information comprises image information, said multimedia objects comprise image objects, said multimedia object descriptions comprise image object descriptions, and said multimedia object hierarchy descriptions comprise image object hierarchy descriptions.
- 35. (Original) The computer readable media of claim 34, wherein said one or more features are selected from the group consisting of text annotations, color, texture, shape, size, and position.
- 36. (Original) The computer readable media of claim 34, wherein said image object hierarchy descriptions comprise physical object hierarchy descriptions of said image object descriptions based on spatial characteristics of said image objects.
- 37. (Original) The computer readable media of claim 36, wherein said image object hierarchy descriptions further comprises logical object hierarchy descriptions of said image object descriptions based on semantic characteristics of said image objects.

- 38. (Original) The computer readable media of claim 33, wherein said multimedia information comprises video information, said multimedia objects comprise events and video objects, said multimedia object descriptions comprise video object descriptions including both event descriptions and object descriptions, said features comprise video event features and video object features, and said multimedia hierarchy descriptions comprise video object hierarchy descriptions including both event hierarchy descriptions and object hierarchy descriptions.
- 39. (Original) The computer readable media of claim 38, wherein said one or more event feature descriptions are selected from the group consisting of text annotations, shot transition, camera motion, time and key frame, and wherein said one or more object feature descriptions are selected from the group consisting of color, texture, shape, size, position, motion, and time.
- 40. (Original) The computer readable media of claim 38, wherein said event hierarchy descriptions comprise one or more physical hierarchy descriptions of said events based on temporal characteristics.
- 41. (Original) The computer readable media of claim 40, wherein said event hierarchy descriptions further comprise one or more logical hierarchy descriptions of said events based on semantic characteristics.
- 42. (Original) The computer readable media of claim 38, wherein said object hierarchy descriptions comprise one or more physical hierarchy descriptions of said objects based on temporal characteristics.
- 43. (Original) The computer readable media of claim 39, wherein said object hierarchy descriptions further comprise one or more logical hierarchy descriptions of said objects based on semantic characteristics.

## IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

None.

### X. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.

A-12

NY02:567568.4